

PBES Abstraction

Wieger Wesselink, Tim Willemse

January 19, 2019

1 Absinthe

The Absinthe algorithm takes as input a PBES p , a substitution on function symbols $\sigma_F : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$, and a substitution on sorts $\sigma_S : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$, where \mathcal{F} is the set of function symbols and \mathcal{S} is the set of sorts. For each $s \in \text{domain}(\sigma_S)$ there is a corresponding *abstraction function* h_s .

1.1 Definitions

We define $FunctionSymbols(p)$ as the set of function symbols that occur in the PBES p . For a sort s we define $ContainerConstructors(s)$ as the set of constructor functions of the sorts $List(s)$, $Set(s)$ and $Bag(s)$. This includes for example the functions $[] : List(s)$ and $\triangleright : s \times List(s) \rightarrow List(s)$.

1.2 Algorithm

The algorithm first extends and modifies the substitution σ_F . Then a transformation to p is applied.

1.2.1 Step 1

The algorithm first extends the substitution σ_F . Let

$$A = \left(FunctionSymbols(p) \cup \bigcup_{s \in \text{domain}(\sigma_S)} ContainerConstructors(s) \right) \setminus \text{domain}(\sigma_F).$$

For each function symbol $f_1 \in A$ the substitution σ_F is extended with $\sigma_F(f_1) := f_2$, where f_2 is obtained from f_1 using

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 : s_b & \mapsto f_2 : s_b^{\sigma_S} \\ f_1 : C(s) & \mapsto f_2 : C(s)^{\sigma_S} \\ f_1 : s_1 \times \dots \times s_n \rightarrow s & \mapsto f_2 : s_1^{\sigma_S} \times \dots \times s_n^{\sigma_S} \rightarrow Set(s^{\sigma_S}), \end{aligned}$$

where s_b is a basic sort, $s_1 \times \dots \times s_n \rightarrow s$ is a function sort, and $C(s)$ is a container sort, and where f_2 is a fresh identifier.

Remark 1 *The sort of f_1 may not contain any element of $\text{domain}(\sigma_S)$ as a subsort.*

Remark 2 *If f_1 is a function update, then the domain of the updated function may not contain any element of $\text{domain}(\sigma_S)$ as a subsort.*

For each of the function symbols $f \in FunctionSymbols(p) \setminus domain(\sigma_F)$ a corresponding equation is introduced:

$$f_2 = \begin{cases} h_{s_b}(f_1) & \text{if } s_b \in domain(\sigma_S) \\ f^{\sigma_S} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$f_2 = f_1^{\sigma_S}$$

$$f_2(x) = \begin{cases} \{h_s(f_1(x))\} & \text{if } TargetSort(s) \in domain(\sigma_S) \\ \{f_1(x)\} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $x : s_1^{\sigma_S} \times \dots \times s_n^{\sigma_S} \rightarrow s^{\sigma_S}$, and where *TargetSort* is recursively defined as

$$\begin{aligned} TargetSort(s_b) &= s_b \\ TargetSort(C(s)) &= C(s) \\ TargetSort(s_1 \times \dots \times s_n \rightarrow s) &= TargetSort(s). \end{aligned}$$

1.2.2 Step 2

After this the substitution σ_F is transformed. Each $(f_1, f_2) \in \sigma_F$ is replaced by (f_1, f_3) , where f_3 is obtained from f_2 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f_2 : s_b &\mapsto f_3 : Set(s_b) \\ f_2 : C(s) &\mapsto f_3 : Set(C(s)) \\ f_2 : s_1 \times \dots \times s_n \rightarrow s &\mapsto f_3 : Set(s_1) \times \dots \times Set(s_n) \rightarrow s, \end{aligned}$$

where f_3 is a fresh name.

For each pair (f_2, f_3) a corresponding equation is generated:

$$f_3 = \{f_2\}$$

$$f_3 = \{f_2\}$$

$$f_3(X) = \{y : s \mid \exists x : s_1 \times \dots \times s_n \rightarrow s . x \in X \wedge y \in f_2(x)\},$$

where $X : Set(s_1) \times \dots \times Set(s_n) \rightarrow s$.

1.2.3 Step 3

The PBES p is transformed using the transformations T and U , that is defined recursively as:

$$\begin{aligned}
T((\sigma_1 X_1(d_1 : D_1) = \varphi_1) \dots (\sigma_n X_n(d_n : D_n) = \varphi_n)) &= (\sigma_1 \widehat{X}_1(d_1 : D_1^{\sigma_S}) = T(\varphi_1)) \dots (\sigma_n \widehat{X}_n(d_n : D_n^{\sigma_S}) = T(\varphi_n)) \\
T(\neg\varphi) &= \neg T(\varphi) \\
T(\varphi \oplus \psi) &= T(\varphi) \oplus T(\psi) \\
T(X_i(e)) &= \begin{cases} \bigvee_{d \in T(e)} \widehat{X}_i(d) & \text{if it is an under-approximation} \\ \bigwedge_{d \in T(e)} \widehat{X}_i(d) & \text{if it is an over-approximation} \end{cases} \\
T(\forall_{d:D}.\varphi) &= \forall_{d:D^{\sigma_S}}.T(\varphi) \\
T(\exists_{d:D}.\varphi) &= \exists_{d:D^{\sigma_S}}.T(\varphi) \\
T(b) &= \begin{cases} false \in U(b) & \text{if it is an under-approximation} \\ true \in U(b) & \text{if it is an over-approximation,} \end{cases} \\
U(v) &= \{v^{\sigma_S}\} \\
U(f) &= \sigma_F(f) \\
U(c) &= \{h_s(c)\} \text{ if } c : s \text{ is a ground term and } s \in \text{domain}(\sigma_S) \\
U(f(x)) &= U(f)(U(x)) \text{ N.B. The general case } U(y(x)) \text{ is not supported!} \\
U(\lambda_{d:D}.x) &= \{v : s^{\sigma_S} \mid v = U(x)\}, \text{ where } x : s \\
U(\forall_{d:D}.x) &= ? \\
U(\exists_{d:D}.x) &= ? \\
U(x \text{ whr } y : = z) &=?
\end{aligned}$$

where $\oplus \in \{\wedge, \vee, \Rightarrow\}$. Note that T operates on PBES expressions, and U operates on data expressions.

2 PBES abstraction

Let $\mathcal{E} = (\sigma_1 X_1(d_{X_1} : D_{X_1}) = \varphi_{X_1}) \cdots (\sigma_n X_n(d_{X_n} : D_{X_n}) = \varphi_{X_n})$ be a PBES, and let V_i be a subset of the parameters in d_{X_i} for $i = 1 \cdots n$. Let $e \in \{true, false\}$ be a data expression. Then we define the algorithm `abstract` as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{abstract}(d, V, e) &= \begin{cases} d & \text{if } \text{freevar}(d) \cap V = \emptyset \\ e & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
\text{abstract}(\varphi \oplus \psi, V, e) &= \text{abstract}(\varphi, V, e) \oplus \text{abstract}(\psi, V, e) \\
\text{abstract}(\mathbb{Q}_{d_1:D_1, \dots, d_m:D_m} \varphi, V, e) &= \mathbb{Q}_{d_1:D_1, \dots, d_m:D_m} \text{abstract}(\varphi, V \setminus \{d_1 : D_1, \dots, d_m : D_m\}, e) \\
\text{abstract}(\sigma X(d_1 : D_1, \dots, d_m : D_m) = \varphi, V, e) &= \sigma X(d_1 : D_1, \dots, d_m : D_m) = \text{abstract}(\varphi, V, e) \\
&\quad (\sigma_1 X_1(d_{X_1} : D_{X_1}) = \text{abstract}(\varphi_{X_1}, V_1, e)) \\
\text{abstract}(\mathcal{E}, [V_1, \dots, V_n], e) &= \begin{matrix} \dots \\ (\sigma_n X_n(d_{X_n} : D_{X_n}) = \text{abstract}(\varphi_{X_n}, V_n, e)) \end{matrix}
\end{aligned}$$

with d a data expression, $\oplus \in \{\wedge, \vee, \Rightarrow\}$, and $\mathbb{Q} \in \{\forall, \exists\}$ and $V \subset \{d_1 : D_1, \dots, d_m : D_m\}$.

2.1 Motivation

The motivation for this algorithm is that if the solution of `abstract`($\mathcal{E}, [V_1, \dots, V_n], false$) is *true*, this implies that the solution of \mathcal{E} is *true* as well, and if the solution of `abstract`($\mathcal{E}, [V_1, \dots, V_n], true$) is *false*, this implies that the solution of \mathcal{E} is *false*.