

Process Library Implementation Notes

Wieger Wesselink

January 19, 2019

1 Process Library Implementation Notes

1.1 Processes

Process expressions in mCRL2 are expressions built according to the following syntax:

| expression | C++ equivalent | ATerm grammar |
|---------------------------------|---|-------------------|
| $a(e)$ | <code>action(a,e)</code> | Action |
| $P(e)$ | <code>process(P,e)</code> | Process |
| $P(d := e)$ | <code>process_assignment(P,d := e)</code> | ProcessAssignment |
| δ | <code>delta()</code> | Delta |
| τ | <code>tau()</code> | Tau |
| $\sum_d x$ | <code>sum(d,x)</code> | Sum |
| $\partial_B(x)$ | <code>block(B,x)</code> | Block |
| $\tau_B(x)$ | <code>hide(B,x)</code> | Hide |
| $\rho_R(x)$ | <code>rename(R,x)</code> | Rename |
| $\Gamma_C(x)$ | <code>comm(C,x)</code> | Comm |
| $\nabla_V(x)$ | <code>allow(V,x)</code> | Allow |
| $x \mid y$ | <code>sync(x,y)</code> | Sync |
| $x \cdot t$ | <code>at_time(x,t)</code> | AtTime |
| $x \cdot y$ | <code>seq(x,y)</code> | Seq |
| $c \rightarrow x$ | <code>if_then(c,x)</code> | IfThen |
| $c \rightarrow x \diamond y$ | <code>if_then_else(c,x,y)</code> | IfThenElse |
| $x \ll y$ | <code>binit(x,y)</code> | BInit |
| $x \parallel y$ | <code>merge(x,y)</code> | Merge |
| $x \parallel\!\!\! \parallel y$ | <code>lmerge(x,y)</code> | LMerge |
| $x + y$ | <code>choice(x,y)</code> | Choice |

where the types of the symbols are as follows:

| | |
|--------|---|
| a, b | strings (action names) |
| P | a process identifier |
| e | a sequence of data expressions |
| d | a sequence of data variables |
| B | a set of strings (action names) |
| R | a sequence of rename expressions |
| C | a sequence of communication expressions |
| V | a sequence of multi actions |
| t | a data expression of type real |
| x, y | process expressions |
| c | a data expression of type bool |

A rename expression is of the form $a \rightarrow b$, with a and b action names. A multi action is of the form $a_1 \mid \cdots \mid a_n$, with a_i actions. A communication expression is of the form $b_1 \mid \cdots \mid b_n \rightarrow b$, with b and b_i action names.

1.1.1 Restrictions

A multi action is a multi set of actions. The left hand sides of the communication expressions in C must be unique. Also the left hand sides of the rename expressions in R must be unique.

1.1.2 Linear process expressions

Linear process expressions are a subset of process expressions satisfying the following grammar:

```
<linear process expression> ::= choice(<linear process expression>, <linear process expression>)
                               | <summand>

<summand>                     ::= sum(<variables>, <alternative>)
                               | <conditional action prefix>
                               | <conditional deadlock>

<conditional action prefix> ::= if_then(<condition>, <action prefix>)
                               | <action prefix>

<action prefix>               ::= seq(<timed multiaction>, <process reference>)
                               | <timed multiaction>

<timed multiaction>          ::= at_time(<multiaction>, <time stamp>)
                               | <multiaction>

<multiaction>                ::= tau()
                               | <action>
                               | sync(<multiaction>, <multiaction>)

<conditional deadlock>      ::= if_then(<condition>, <timed deadlock>)
                               | <timed deadlock>

<timed deadlock>            ::= delta()
                               | at_time(delta(), <time stamp>)

<process reference>         ::= process(<process identifier>, <data expressions>)
                               | process_assignment(<process identifier>, <data assignments>)
```

1.2 Guarded process expressions

We define the predicate *is_guarded* for process expressions as follows: $is_guarded(p) = is_guarded(p, \emptyset)$

$$\begin{aligned}
is_guarded(a(e), W) &= true \\
is_guarded(\delta, W) &= true \\
is_guarded(\tau, W) &= true \\
is_guarded(P(e), W) &= \begin{cases} false & \text{if } P \in W \\ is_guarded(p, W \cup \{P\}) & \text{if } P \notin W \end{cases} \\
&\quad \text{where } P(d) = p \text{ is the equation corresponding to } P(e) \\
is_guarded(p + q, W) &= is_guarded(p, W) \wedge is_guarded(q, W) \\
is_guarded(p \cdot q, W) &= is_guarded(p, W) \\
is_guarded(c \rightarrow p, W) &= is_guarded(p, W) \\
is_guarded(c \rightarrow p \diamond q, W) &= is_guarded(p, W) \wedge is_guarded(q, W) \\
is_guarded(\Sigma_{d:D} p, W) &= is_guarded(p, W) \\
is_guarded(p \cdot t, W) &= is_guarded(p, W) \\
is_guarded(p \ll q, W) &= is_guarded(p, W) \\
is_guarded(p \parallel q, W) &= is_guarded(p, W) \wedge is_guarded(q, W) \\
is_guarded(p \parallel\!\!| q, W) &= is_guarded(p, W) \\
is_guarded(p \mid q, W) &= is_guarded(p, W) \wedge is_guarded(q, W) \\
is_guarded(\rho_R(p), W) &= is_guarded(p, W) \\
is_guarded(\partial_B(p), W) &= is_guarded(p, W) \\
is_guarded(\tau_I(p), W) &= is_guarded(p, W) \\
is_guarded(\Gamma_C(p), W) &= is_guarded(p, W) \\
is_guarded(\nabla_V(p), W) &= is_guarded(p, W)
\end{aligned}$$

N.B. This specification assumes that process names are unique. In mCRL2 process names can be overloaded, therefore in the implementation W contains *process identifiers* (i.e. both the process name and the sorts of the arguments) instead of process names.

1.3 Alphabet reduction

Alphabet reduction is a preprocessing step for linearization. It is a transformation on process expressions that preserves branching bisimulation.

1.3.1 Notations

In this text action names are represented using a, b, \dots and multi action names using α, β, \dots . So in general we have $\alpha = a_1 \mid \dots \mid a_n$. In alphabet reduction data parameters play a minor role, therefore we choose a notation in which data parameters are omitted. We use the abbreviation $\bar{a} = a(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ to denote an action, and $\bar{\alpha} = \bar{a}_1 \mid \dots \mid \bar{a}_n$ to denote a multi action, where e_1, \dots, e_n are data expressions. Note that a multi action is a multiset (or bag) of actions and a multi action name is a multiset of names. We write $\alpha\beta$ as shorthand for $\alpha \cup \beta$ and $a\beta$ for $\{a\} \cup \beta$. Sets of multi action names are represented using A, A_1, A_2, \dots . A communication C maps multi action names to action names, and is denoted as $\{\alpha_1 \rightarrow a_1, \dots, \alpha_n \rightarrow a_n\}$. A renaming R is a substitution on action names, and is denoted as $R = \{a_1 \rightarrow b_1, \dots, a_n \rightarrow b_n\}$. A block set B is a set of action names. A hide set I is a set of action names.

1.3.2 Definitions

We define multi actions $\bar{\alpha}$ using the following grammar:

$$\bar{\alpha} ::= \bar{a} \mid \bar{\alpha} \mid \bar{a},$$

where \bar{a} is an action, and where \mid is used to distinguish alternatives.

We define pCRL terms p using the following grammar:

$$p ::= \bar{a} \mid P \mid \delta \mid \tau \mid p + p \mid p \cdot p \mid c \rightarrow p \mid c \rightarrow p \diamond p \mid \Sigma_{d:DP} p \mid p \text{ } ^c t \mid p \ll p,$$

and parallel mCRL terms q using the following grammar:

$$q ::= p \mid q \parallel q \mid q \parallel q \mid q \mid \rho_R(q) \mid \partial_B(q) \mid \tau_I(q) \mid \Gamma_C(q) \mid \nabla_V(q).$$

Remark 1 Note that there is an unfortunate overload of the \mid -operator in both multi actions and process expressions. This has consequences for the implementation, since it there is no clean distinction between parallel and non-parallel operators.

Remark 2 The mCRL2 language also has a construct $P(d_{i_1} = e_{i_1}, \dots, d_{i_k} = e_{i_k})$, but this is just a shorthand notation. Therefore we will ignore it in this text.

1.3.3 Alphabet operations

Let A, A_1 and A_2 be sets of multi action names. Then we define

$$\begin{aligned} A^\subseteq &= \{\alpha \mid \exists \beta. \alpha\beta \in A\} \\ A_1 A_2 &= \{\alpha\beta \mid \alpha \in A_1 \text{ and } \beta \in A_2\} \\ A_1 \leftrightarrow A_2 &= \{\alpha \mid \exists \beta. \alpha\beta \in A_1 \text{ and } \beta \in A_2\} \end{aligned}$$

Note that β can take the value τ in the definition of $A_1 \leftrightarrow A_2$, which implies $A_1 \subset A_1 \leftrightarrow A_2$. The set A^\subseteq has an exponential size, so whenever possible it should not be computed explicitly.

Let C be a communication set, then we define

$$\begin{aligned} C(A) &= \cup_{\alpha \in A} \text{COMM}(C, \alpha) \\ C^{-1}(A) &= \cup_{\alpha \in A} \text{COMMINVERSE}(C, \alpha) \\ \text{filter}_{\nabla}(C, A) &= \{\gamma \rightarrow c \in C \mid \exists \alpha \in A. \gamma \subset \alpha\} \end{aligned}$$

where COMM and COMMINVERSE are defined using pseudo code as follows:

```

COMM(C, α)
R := {α}
for γ → c ∈ C do
  if ∃β.α = βγ then R := R ∪ COMM(C, βc)
return R

COMMINVERSE(C, α1, α2)
R := {α1α2}
for γ → c ∈ C do
  if ∃β.α1 = βc then R := R ∪ COMMINVERSE(C, β, α2γ)
return R

```

Note that $C^{-1}(\alpha) = \text{COMMINVERSE}(C, \alpha, \tau)$.

Let R be a rename set, then we define

$$\begin{aligned}
R(\alpha) &= \{R(\alpha_i) \mid \alpha_i \in \alpha\} \\
R^{-1}(\alpha) &= \{\beta \mid R(\beta) = \alpha\} \\
R(A) &= \{R(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in A\} \\
R^{-1}(A) &= \{R^{-1}(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in A\}
\end{aligned}$$

Let I be a hide set, then we define

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_I(A) &= \{\beta \mid \exists \alpha \in A, \gamma \in I^*. \alpha = \beta\gamma \wedge \beta \cap I = \emptyset\} \\
\tau_I^{-1}(A) &= \partial_I(A)I^*
\end{aligned}$$

Let B be a block set, then we define

$$\partial_B(A) = \{\alpha \in A \mid \alpha \cap B = \emptyset\}$$

We define a mapping act that extracts the individual action names of a set of multi action names:

$$\begin{aligned}
act(a_1 \mid \dots \mid a_n) &= \{a_1 \mid \dots \mid a_n\} \\
act(A) &= \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} act(\alpha)
\end{aligned}$$

1.3.4 The mapping α

We define the mapping α as follows. The value $\alpha(p, \emptyset)$ is an over approximation of the alphabet of process expression p .

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha(\bar{a}, W) &= \{a\} \\
\alpha(P, W) &= \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } P \in W \\ \alpha(p, W \cup \{P\}) & \text{if } P \notin W, \end{cases} \\
&\quad \text{where } P = p \text{ is the equation of } P \\
\alpha(\delta, W) &= \emptyset \\
\alpha(\tau, W) &= \{\tau\} \\
\alpha(p + q, W) &= \alpha(p, W) \cup \alpha(q, W) \\
\alpha(p \cdot q, W) &= \alpha(p, W) \cup \alpha(q, W) \\
\alpha(c \rightarrow p, W) &= \alpha(p, W) \\
\alpha(c \rightarrow p \diamond q, W) &= \alpha(p, W) \cup \alpha(q, W) \\
\alpha(\sum_{d:D} p, W) &= \alpha(p, W) \\
\alpha(p \text{ }^c\text{ } t, W) &= \alpha(p, W) \\
\alpha(p \ll q, W) &= \alpha(p, W) \cup \alpha(q, W) \\
\alpha(p \parallel q, W) &= \alpha(p, W) \cup \alpha(q, W) \cup \alpha(p, W)\alpha(q, W) \\
\alpha(p \parallel\!\!\!| q, W) &= \alpha(p, W) \cup \alpha(q, W) \cup \alpha(p, W)\alpha(q, W) \\
\alpha(p \mid q, W) &= \alpha(p, W)\alpha(q, W) \\
\alpha(\rho_R(p), W) &= R(\alpha(p, W)) \\
\alpha(\partial_B(p), W) &= \partial_B(\alpha(p, W)) \\
\alpha(\tau_I(p), W) &= \tau_I(\alpha(p, W)) \\
\alpha(\Gamma_C(p), W) &= C(\alpha(p, W)) \\
\alpha(\nabla_V(p), W) &= \alpha(p, W) \cap (V \cup \{\tau\})
\end{aligned}$$

Example 1

If $C = \{a \mid b \rightarrow c\}$, then $\alpha(\Gamma_C(a(1) \mid b(2))) = \{a, b, c, a \mid b\}$. Note that the action c does not occur in the transition system of this process expression.

Example 2 In the computation of $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{20}\} \cap \alpha(a_1 \parallel a_2 \parallel \dots \parallel a_{20})$ the above mentioned optimization is really needed.

1.3.5 Computation of the alphabet

When computing $A \cap \alpha(p, W)$ for some multi action name set A , it may be beneficial to apply an optimization. This is done to keep intermediate expressions small. We introduce $\alpha(p, W, A) = A \cap \alpha(p, W)$, and define it as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha(\bar{a}, W, A) &= \begin{cases} \{a\} & \text{if } a \in A \\ \emptyset & \text{if } a \notin A \end{cases} \\
\alpha(P, W, A) &= \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } P \in W \\ \alpha(p, W \cup \{P\}, A) & \text{if } P \notin W, \\ & \text{where } P = p \text{ is the equation of } P \end{cases} \\
\alpha(p + q, W, A) &= \alpha(p, W, A) \cup \alpha(q, W, A) \\
\alpha(p \cdot q, W, A) &= \alpha(p, W, A) \cup \alpha(q, W, A) \\
\alpha(c \rightarrow p, W, A) &= \alpha(p, W, A) \\
\alpha(c \rightarrow p \diamond q, W, A) &= \alpha(p, W, A) \cup \alpha(q, W, A) \\
\alpha(\Sigma_{d: D} p, W, A) &= \alpha(p, W, A) \\
\alpha(p \stackrel{c}{\ll} t, W, A) &= \alpha(p, W, A) \\
\alpha(p \ll q, W, A) &= \alpha(p, W, A) \cup \alpha(q, W, A) \\
\alpha(p \parallel q, W, A) &= \alpha(p, W, A) \cup \alpha(q, W, A) \cup \alpha(p, W, A^{\subseteq}) \alpha(q, W, A^{\subseteq}) \\
\alpha(p \parallel\!\!\! \parallel q, W, A) &= \alpha(p, W, A) \cup \alpha(q, W, A) \cup \alpha(p, W, A^{\subseteq}) \alpha(q, W, A^{\subseteq}) \\
\alpha(p \mid q, W, A) &= \alpha(p, W, A^{\subseteq}) \alpha(q, W, A^{\subseteq})
\end{aligned}$$

1.3.6 More efficient computation of the alphabet

The computation of $\alpha(p, W, A)$ can be done more efficiently. We define the function $proc(p, W)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
proc(\bar{a}, W) &= \emptyset \\
proc(P, W) &= \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } P \in W \\ \{P\} \cup proc(p, W) & \text{if } P \notin W \end{cases} \\
proc(p + q, W) &= proc(p, W) \cup proc(q, W) \\
proc(p \cdot q, W) &= proc(p, W) \cup proc(q, W) \\
proc(c \rightarrow p, W) &= proc(p, W) \\
proc(c \rightarrow p \diamond q, W) &= proc(p, W) \cup proc(q, W) \\
proc(\Sigma_{d: D} p, W) &= proc(p, W) \\
proc(p \stackrel{c}{\ll} t, W) &= proc(p, W)
\end{aligned}$$

Using this function we can change the computation of $\alpha(p, W, A)$ at three places:

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha(p + q, W, A) &= \alpha(p, W, A) \cup \alpha(q, W \cup proc(p, W), A) \\
\alpha(p \cdot q, W, A) &= \alpha(p, W, A) \cup \alpha(q, W \cup proc(p, W), A) \\
\alpha(c \rightarrow p \diamond q, W, A) &= \alpha(p, W, A) \cup \alpha(q, W \cup proc(p, W), A)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the value $proc(p, W)$ can be computed on the fly during the computation of $\alpha(p, W, A)$.

1.3.7 Bounded alphabet

In practice one often wants to compute $\alpha(p, A) = \alpha(\nabla_A(p))$. This can be computed more efficiently as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha(\bar{a}, A) &= \begin{cases} \{a\} & \text{if } a \in A \\ \emptyset & \text{if } a \notin A \end{cases} \\
\alpha(P, A) &= \alpha(p, A), \text{ where } P = p \text{ is the equation of } P \\
\alpha(p + q, A) &= \alpha(p, A) \cup \alpha(q, A) \\
\alpha(p \cdot q, A) &= \alpha(p, A) \cup \alpha(q, A) \\
\alpha(c \rightarrow p, A) &= \alpha(p, A) \\
\alpha(c \rightarrow p \diamond q, A) &= \alpha(p, A) \cup \alpha(q, A) \\
\alpha(\Sigma_{d:D} p, A) &= \alpha(p, A) \\
\alpha(p \text{ }^c\text{ } t, A) &= \alpha(p, A) \\
\alpha(p \ll q, A) &= \alpha(p, A) \cup \alpha(q, A) \\
\alpha(p \parallel q, A) &= \alpha(p, A) \cup \alpha(q, A) \cup \alpha(p, A^{\subseteq}) \alpha(q, A \leftarrow \alpha(p, A^{\subseteq})) \\
\alpha(p \parallel\!\! \parallel q, A) &= \alpha(p, A) \cup \alpha(q, A) \cup \alpha(p, A^{\subseteq}) \alpha(q, A \leftarrow \alpha(p, A^{\subseteq})) \\
\alpha(p \mid q, A) &= \alpha(p, A^{\subseteq}) \alpha(q, A \leftarrow \alpha(p, A^{\subseteq})) \\
\alpha(\rho_R(p), A) &= R(\alpha(p, R^{-1}(A))) \\
\alpha(\partial_B(p), A) &= \alpha(p, \partial_B(A)) \\
\alpha(\tau_I(p), A) &= \tau_I(\alpha(p, \tau_I^{-1}(A))) \\
\alpha(\Gamma_C(p), A) &= C(\alpha(p, C^{-1}(A))) \\
\alpha(\nabla_V(p), A) &= \alpha(p, A \cap V)
\end{aligned}$$

1.3.8 The mappings $push$, $push_{\nabla}$ and $push_{\partial}$

We define mappings $push$, $push_{\nabla}$ and $push_{\partial}$ such that $push(p)$ is bisimulation equivalent to p , $push_{\nabla}(A, p)$ is bisimulation equivalent to $\nabla_A(p)$, and $push_{\partial}(B, p)$ is bisimulation equivalent to $\partial_B(p)$. The goal of these mappings is to push allow and block expressions deeply inside process expressions. It is important to know that an allow set A in the expression $\nabla_A(p)$ implicitly contains the empty multi action τ . Let $\mathcal{E} = \{P_1(d) = p_1, \dots, P_n(d) = p_n\}$ be a sequence of process equations.

$$\begin{aligned}
push(p) &= p \text{ if } p \text{ is a pCRL expression} \\
push(p \parallel q) &= push(p) \parallel push(q) \\
push(p \parallel\!\! \parallel q) &= push(p) \parallel\!\! \parallel push(q) \\
push(p \mid q) &= push(p) \mid push(q) \\
push(\rho_R(p)) &= \rho_R(push(p)) \\
push(\partial_B(p)) &= push_{\partial}(B, p) \\
push(\tau_I(p)) &= \tau_I(push(p)) \\
push(\Gamma_C(p)) &= \Gamma_C(push(p)) \\
push(\nabla_V(p)) &= push_{\nabla}(V, p)
\end{aligned}$$

We assume that $P_{A,e}^\nabla$ is a unique name for every $P \in \{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$, multi action name set A and sequence of data expressions e .

$$\begin{aligned}
push_\nabla(A, \bar{a}) &= \begin{cases} \bar{a} & \text{if } N(\bar{a}) \in A \\ \delta & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
push_\nabla(A, P(e)) &= P_A^\nabla(e), \text{ where } P(d) = p \text{ is the equation of } P, \text{ and} \\
&\quad \text{where } P_A^\nabla(d) = push_\nabla(A, p) \text{ is a new equation} \\
push_\nabla(A, \delta) &= \delta \\
push_\nabla(A, \tau) &= \tau \\
push_\nabla(A, p + q) &= \nabla_A(p + q) \\
push_\nabla(A, p \cdot q) &= \nabla_A(p \cdot q) \\
push_\nabla(A, c \rightarrow p) &= \nabla_A(c \rightarrow p) \\
push_\nabla(A, c \rightarrow p \diamond q) &= \nabla_A(c \rightarrow p \diamond q) \\
push_\nabla(A, \Sigma_{d:D} p) &= \nabla_A(\Sigma_{d:D} p) \\
push_\nabla(A, p \cdot t) &= \nabla_A(p \cdot t) \\
push_\nabla(A, p \ll q) &= \nabla_A(p \ll q) \\
push_\nabla(A, p \parallel q) &= \nabla_A(A, p' \parallel q') \text{ where } \begin{cases} p' = push_\nabla(A^\subseteq, p) \\ q' = push_\nabla(A \leftarrow \alpha(p'), q) \end{cases} \\
push_\nabla(A, p \parallel\!\!\!| q) &= \nabla_A(A, p' \parallel\!\!\!| q') \text{ where } \begin{cases} p' = push_\nabla(A^\subseteq, p) \\ q' = push_\nabla(A \leftarrow \alpha(p'), q) \end{cases} \\
push_\nabla(A, p \mid q) &= \nabla_A(A, p' \mid q') \text{ where } \begin{cases} p' = push_\nabla(A^\subseteq, p) \\ q' = push_\nabla(A \leftarrow \alpha(p'), q) \end{cases} \\
push_\nabla(A, \rho_R(p)) &= \rho_R(p') \text{ where } p' = push_\nabla(R^{-1}(A), p) \\
push_\nabla(A, \partial_B(p)) &= push_\nabla(\partial_B(A), p) \\
push_\nabla(A, \tau_I(p)) &= \tau_I(p') \text{ where } p' = push_\nabla(\tau_I^{-1}(A), p) \\
push_\nabla(A, \Gamma_C(p)) &= \text{allow}(A, \Gamma_C(p')) \text{ where } p' = push_\nabla(C^{-1}(A), p) \\
push_\nabla(A, \nabla_V(p)) &= push_\nabla(A \cap V, p),
\end{aligned}$$

Optimizations During the computation of $push_\nabla$ the following optimizations are applied in the right hand side of each equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_A(p) &= \begin{cases} p & \text{if } (A \cup \{\tau\}) \cap \alpha(p) = \alpha(p) \\ \nabla_{A \cap \alpha(p)}(p) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
\nabla_\emptyset(p) &= \begin{cases} \tau & \text{if } p = \tau \\ \delta & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
\Gamma_C(p) &= \Gamma_{\text{filter}_\nabla(C, \alpha(p))}(p) \\
\delta \mid \delta &= \delta \\
\delta \parallel \delta &= \delta
\end{aligned}$$

For non pCRL expression the alphabet $\alpha(p)$ is computed on the fly during the computation of $push_\nabla(A, p)$.

Example 1 Let $P = (a+b) \cdot P$. Then $push_\nabla(\{a\}, P, \emptyset) = P'$, with $P' = push_\nabla(\{a\}, (a+b) \cdot P, \{(P, \{a\}, P')\}) = push_\nabla(\{a\}, (a+b), \{(P, \{a\}, P')\}) \cdot push_\nabla(\{a\}, P, \{(P, \{a\}, P')\}) = \dots = a \cdot P'$.

Example 2 Let $P = a \cdot \nabla_{\{a\}}(P)$. Then $push_\nabla(\{a\}, P, \emptyset) = P'$, with $P' = push_\nabla(\{a\}, a \cdot \nabla_{\{a\}}(P), \{(P, \{a\}, P')\}) = push_\nabla(\{a\}, a, \{(P, \{a\}, P')\}) \cdot push_\nabla(\{a\}, \nabla_{\{a\}}(P), \{(P, \{a\}, P')\}) = \dots = a \cdot P'$.

We assume that $P_{A,e}^\nabla$ is a unique name for every $P \in \{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$, multi action name set A and sequence

of data expressions e .

$$\begin{aligned}
push_{\partial}(B, \bar{a}) &= \begin{cases} \bar{a} & \text{if } N(\bar{a}) \cap B = \emptyset \\ \delta & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
push_{\partial}(B, P(e)) &= P_{B,e}^{\partial}(e) \\
&= \text{where } P(d) = p \text{ is the equation of } P, \text{ and} \\
&= \text{where } P_{B,e}^{\partial}(d) = push_{\partial}(B, p) \text{ is a new equation} \\
push_{\partial}(B, \delta) &= \delta \\
push_{\partial}(B, \tau) &= \tau \\
push_{\partial}(B, p + q) &= push_{\partial}(B, p) + push_{\partial}(B, q) \\
push_{\partial}(B, p \cdot q) &= push_{\partial}(B, p) \cdot push_{\partial}(B, q) \\
push_{\partial}(B, c \rightarrow p) &= c \rightarrow push_{\partial}(B, p) \\
push_{\partial}(B, c \rightarrow p \diamond q) &= c \rightarrow push_{\partial}(B, p) \diamond push_{\partial}(B, q) \\
push_{\partial}(B, \Sigma_{d:D} p) &= \Sigma_{d:D} push_{\partial}(B, p) \\
push_{\partial}(B, p \text{ }^c t) &= push_{\partial}(B, p) \text{ }^c t \\
push_{\partial}(B, p \ll q) &= push_{\partial}(B, p) \ll push_{\partial}(B, q) \\
push_{\partial}(B, p \parallel q) &= push_{\partial}(B, p) \parallel push_{\partial}(B, q) \\
push_{\partial}(B, p \parallel\!\!\! \parallel q) &= push_{\partial}(B, p) \parallel\!\!\!\!\!\! \parallel push_{\partial}(B, q) \\
push_{\partial}(B, p \mid q) &= push_{\partial}(B, p) \mid push_{\partial}(B, q) \\
push_{\partial}(B, \rho_R(p)) &= \rho_R(R^{-1}(B), p) \\
push_{\partial}(B, \partial_{B_1}(p)) &= push_{\partial}(B \cup B_1, p) \\
push_{\partial}(B, \tau_I(p)) &= \tau_I(push_{\partial}(B \setminus I, p)) \\
push_{\partial}(B, \Gamma_C(p)) &= \text{block}(B, \Gamma_C(push_{\partial}(B', p))) \text{ where } B' = B \setminus \{b \in B \mid \exists \gamma \rightarrow c \in C. b \in \gamma \wedge c \notin B\} \\
push_{\partial}(B, \nabla_V(p)) &= push_{\nabla}(\partial_B(A), p, \emptyset),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\text{block}(B, p) = \begin{cases} p & \text{if } B = \emptyset \\ \partial_B(p) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Example 3 The presence of $R^{-1}(\partial_B(A))$ instead of just $R^{-1}(A)$ in the right hand side of the rename operator is explained by the example $push_{\nabla}(\{b\}, \rho_{\{b \rightarrow c\}} b)$. We see that $\rho_{\{b \rightarrow c\}} push_{\nabla}(R^{-1}(A), p) = \rho_{\{b \rightarrow c\}} push_{\nabla}(\{b\}, b) = \rho_{\{b \rightarrow c\}} b = c$, which is clearly the wrong answer.

1.3.9 Allow sets

There are two rules in the definition of $push_{\nabla}$ where the allow set can/should not be computed explicitly. The computation of $push_{\nabla}(A, p \parallel q)$ involves computation of $push_{\nabla}(p, A^{\subseteq})$. We want to avoid the computation of A^{\subseteq} , since it can become very large. The computation of $push_{\nabla}(A, \tau_I(p))$ involves computation of $push_{\nabla}(p, \tau_I^{-1}(A))$. The set $\tau_I^{-1}(A) = AI^*$ is infinite.

In the implementation we use allow sets of the form $A^{\subseteq} I^*$, where A is a set of multi action names and I is a set of action names. The \subseteq is optional and I may be empty. Such an allow set is stored as two sets A and I , together with an attribute that tells if \subseteq is applicable. We need to show that allow sets are closed

under the operations in $push_{\nabla}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_B(A \subseteq I^*) &= \tau_B(A) \subseteq \tau_B(I)^* \\
\tau_{I_1}^{-1}(A \subseteq I^*) &= \partial_{I_1}(A \subseteq) (I \cup I_1)^* \\
(A \subseteq I^*) \cap V &= \{\beta \in V \mid \exists \alpha \in A. \tau_I(\beta) \subseteq \alpha\} \\
R^{-1}(A \subseteq I^*) &= R^{-1}(A \subseteq) R^{-1}(I)^* \\
C^{-1}(A \subseteq I^*) &\subseteq C^{-1}(A) \subseteq act(C^{-1}(I))^* \\
(A \subseteq I^*) \leftarrow A_1 &= A \subseteq I^* \\
(A \subseteq I^*) \subseteq &= A \subseteq I^* \\
\partial_B(AI^*) &= \partial_B(A) \tau_B(I)^* \\
\tau_{I_1}^{-1}(AI^*) &= \partial_{I_1}(A) (I \cup I_1)^* \\
(AI^*) \cap V &= \{\beta \in V \mid \exists \alpha \in A. \tau_I(\beta) = \alpha\} \\
R^{-1}(AI^*) &= R^{-1}(A) R^{-1}(I)^* \\
C^{-1}(AI^*) &\subseteq C^{-1}(A) act(C^{-1}(I))^* \\
(AI^*) \subseteq &= A \subseteq I^*
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_B(A_1 A_2) &= \partial_B(A_1) \partial_B(A_2) \\
\partial_B(A \subseteq) &= \tau_B(A) \subseteq \\
R^{-1}(A_1 A_2) &= R^{-1}(A_1) R^{-1}(A_2) \\
R^{-1}(A^*) &= R^{-1}(A)^* \\
C^{-1}(A \subseteq) &\subseteq C^{-1}(A) \subseteq \\
C^{-1}(A_1 A_2) &= C^{-1}(A_1) C^{-1}(A_2) \\
C^{-1}(A^*) &= C^{-1}(A)^* \\
A \subseteq \leftarrow A_1 &= A \subseteq
\end{aligned}$$

Note that in case of the communication we only have an inclusion relation instead of equality. This is done to stay within the format $A \subseteq I^*$. As a consequence the implementation uses an over-approximation of $C^{-1}(A \subseteq I^*)$ and $C^{-1}(AI^*)$. Furthermore note that the property $R^{-1}(A \subseteq) = R^{-1}(A) \subseteq$ does not hold. A counter example is $R = \{b \rightarrow a\}$ and $A = \{a, b \mid c\}$. In that case we have $R^{-1}(A \subseteq) = \{a, b, c\} \subseteq$ and $R^{-1}(A) \subseteq = \{a, b\} \subseteq$. Another property that was initially assumed, but that does not hold is $(AI^*) \leftarrow A_1 = (A \leftarrow \tau_I(A_1)) I^*$.

1.4 Optimization for $push_{\nabla}$

In some cases the $push_{\nabla}$ operator produces expressions that are too large. This section proposes an optimization for the case $push_{\nabla}(A, \Gamma_C(p))$ that can help to prevent this problem for certain practical cases.

$$push_{\nabla}(A, \Gamma_C(p)) = \begin{cases} \text{allow}(A, \Gamma_{C \setminus C'}(push_{\nabla\Gamma}(A', C', p))) & \text{if } C \neq C' \\ push_{\nabla\Gamma}(A, C, p) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

with $C' = \{\beta \rightarrow b \in C \mid b \notin \bigcup_{\beta' \rightarrow b' \in C} \beta'\}$ and $A' = ((C \setminus C')(A))^{\subseteq}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} push_{\nabla\Gamma}(A, C, p \parallel q) &= \text{allow}(A, \Gamma_C(\text{allow}(C^{-1}(A), p' \parallel q'))) \text{ where } \begin{cases} p' = push_{\nabla\Gamma}(A', C, p) \\ q' = push_{\nabla\Gamma}(A'', C, q) \\ A' = C^{-1}(A)^{\subseteq} \setminus (C^{-1}(A) \setminus A) \\ A'' = (C^{-1}(A) \leftarrow \alpha(p')) \setminus (C^{-1}(A) \setminus A) \end{cases} \\ push_{\nabla\Gamma}(A, C, p \parallel\!\! \parallel q) &= \text{allow}(A, \Gamma_C(\text{allow}(C^{-1}(A), p' \parallel\!\! \parallel q'))) \text{ where } \begin{cases} p' = push_{\nabla\Gamma}(A', C, p) \\ q' = push_{\nabla\Gamma}(A'', C, q) \\ A' = C^{-1}(A)^{\subseteq} \setminus (C^{-1}(A) \setminus A) \\ A'' = (C^{-1}(A) \leftarrow \alpha(p')) \setminus (C^{-1}(A) \setminus A) \end{cases} \\ push_{\nabla\Gamma}(A, C, p \mid q) &= \text{allow}(A, \Gamma_C(\text{allow}(C^{-1}(A), p' \mid q'))) \text{ where } \begin{cases} p' = push_{\nabla\Gamma}(A', C, p) \\ q' = push_{\nabla\Gamma}(A'', C, q) \\ A' = C^{-1}(A)^{\subseteq} \setminus (C^{-1}(A) \setminus A) \\ A'' = (C^{-1}(A) \leftarrow \alpha(p')) \setminus (C^{-1}(A) \setminus A) \end{cases} \\ push_{\nabla\Gamma}(A, C, \partial_B(p)) &= push_{\nabla\Gamma}(\partial_B(A), C, p) \\ push_{\nabla\Gamma}(A, C, \nabla_V(p)) &= push_{\nabla\Gamma}(A \cap V, C, p) \\ push_{\nabla\Gamma}(A, C, p) &= \text{allow}(A, \Gamma_C(p')) \text{ where } p' = push_{\nabla}(C^{-1}(A), p) \text{ for all other cases of } p \end{aligned}$$

Note that in this case the allow set A has the general shape $(A_1^{\subseteq} \setminus A_2^{\subseteq}) I^*$ (?), with the subset operator \subseteq optional, and with I possibly empty. To implement this optimization, it needs to be investigated if such a set A is closed under the operations $\partial_B(A)$, $\tau_{I_1}^{-1}(A)$, $A \cap V$, $R^{-1}(A)$, $C^{-1}(A)$, $A \leftarrow A_1$, A^{\subseteq} and $C(A)$.